

A Club of Carbon Markets

N. Keohane, A. Petsonk, A. Hanafi

I4CE EDF Carbon Pricing Conference

Embassy of France

November 2015



The concept, in three bullets

- Encouraging carbon markets could support reductions in carbon emissions
- The UNFCCC process provides one avenue, but other avenues could be useful as well
- How a club of carbon markets could help



The role of carbon markets

- Advantages over conventional regulation
 - ✓ Hard cap matched with flexibility on how to meet it
 - ✓ Cost-effectiveness
 - ✓ Incentives for innovation
- Potential for cost-effective “bottom-up” architecture through linkage
 - Linkage not a panacea (Wagner, Green, & Sterner)
 - But still provides a range of potential benefits
- Bottom line: Carbon markets enable deeper cuts and greater ambition



What market infrastructure is needed?

- Transparent tracking and accounting of emissions units and transactions
 - Linked registries
- Common/mutually recognized guidelines/standards for integrity
 - Common accounting rules to ensure clear definition and fungibility of traded units and avoid double counting/claiming
 - Transparent monitoring, reporting, verification
 - Harmonized/mutually recognized emissions units criteria, including offset protocols



Can the UNFCCC deliver that framework?



If not the UN, then who?

A different model: The world trade system

- The GATT: a “club” approach that coalesced in the wake of the failure to ratify the Havana Charter
- Broad development of multilateral trade rules
- More recently, plurilateral agreements on a “critical mass” basis
- NB: Other models from security policy (e.g., Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR))



A Club of Carbon Markets (1/2)

- Purpose: to drive greater mitigation ambition by facilitating/encouraging use of robust, high-integrity emission trading systems
- Core of the CCM would be mutual recognition of carbon emission units among member jurisdictions
- Modeled on international institutions in which nations *want* to participate



A Club of Carbon Markets (2/2)

- CCM would provide “common market infrastructure”
 - MRV/accounting framework
 - Harmonized offset protocols
 - Tracking of allowances (common/linked registries)
- Could also facilitate sharing of information, technical advice, etc.



Club benefits as participation incentives


- We envision the CCM as a club in the economic sense
- Genuine “clubs” are more than coalitions: they offer exclusive benefits to members
- Exclusivity necessary to mitigate free riding, incentivize jurisdictions to adopt ETSs and join the club



Who has capacity to ~~treat~~ join?

Could include states and provinces as well as nations; perhaps industry sectors as well (e.g. civil aviation)

Membership criteria (e.g.)

- ✓ At least one ambitious absolute emissions cap on a sector (e.g., electric power, industry) covering a significant fraction of national emissions
 - ✓ Using a market-based measure to implement the cap
 - ✓ Transparency requirements (MRV)
 - ✓ Capacity to enforce the cap, and clear consequences for failure to comply (creates scarcity)
- 

Avoid “double counting”/”double claiming”

Key role for the UNFCCC

“A Party engaged in international cooperative mitigation activities shall avoid double counting/double claiming^[1] of mitigation outcomes by adjusting its national emissions inventory or other appropriate registry, and reflecting such adjustments in reports required under the Convention”



Finding the ways that work

^[1] See UNFCCC Secretariat technical paper, FCCC/TP/2014/9, available at <http://unfccc.int/resource/docs/2014/tp/09.pdf>



Annie Petsonk
apetsonk@edf.org

